The Great Grid Upgrade

North Humber to High Marnham

NHHM-NG-CNS-REP-0022

North Humber to High Marnham

Volume 5: Reports and Statements

Document 5.8.6 Statement of Common Ground - East Riding of Yorkshire Council

Final Issue A

October 2026

Planning Inspectorate Reference: EN020034

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 Regulation 5(2)(q)

nationalgrid

Contents

1. Purpose of the Statement of Common Ground 1

2. Project Description 2

3. Record of Engagement 3

3.1 Role of the Consultee in the process 3

3.2 Summary of pre-application discussions 3

4. Matters Agreed or Under Discussion 8

4.1 Overview 8

4.2 Draft Development Consent Order 9

4.3 Need and Alternatives 9

4.4 Principles of the Project and Design 10

4.5 Landscape and Visual 12

4.6 Ecology and Ornithology 19

4.7 Cultural Heritage 26

4.8 Hydrology and Land Drainage 30

4.9 Geology and Hydrogeology 33

4.10 Agriculture and Soils 36

4.11 Traffic and Transport 38

4.12 Air Quality 55

4.13 Noise and Vibration 58

4.14 Socio-economics, recreation and tourism 61

4.15 Health and Wellbeing 64

4.16 Climate Change 68

4.17 Intra-Project Cumulative Effects 70

4.18 Inter Project Cumulative Effects 72

5. Matters Not Agreed 75

5.1 Overview 75

6. Confirmation of Position 76

Table 3.1 – Summary of Engagement between National Grid and ERYC 3
Table 4.1 – Agreement Status 8
Table 4.2 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to the draft Development Consent Order 9
Table 4.3 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Need and Alternatives 9
Table 4.4 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to the Principles of the Project 10
Table 4.5 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Landscape 12
Table 4.6 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Ecology and Ornithology 19

Table 4.7 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Cultural Heritage 26
Table 4.8 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Hydrology and Land Drainage 30
Table 4.9 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Geology and Hydrogeology 33
Table 4.10 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Agriculture and Soil 36
Table 4.11 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Traffic and Transport 38
Table 4.12 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Air Quality 55
Table 4.13 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Noise and Vibration 58
Table 4.14 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism 61
Table 4.15 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Health and Wellbeing 64
Table 4.16 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Climate Change 68
Table 4.17 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Intra-Project Cumulative Effects 70
Table 4.18 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Inter Project Cumulative Effects 72

1. Purpose of the Statement of Common Ground

1.1.1 This draft Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by National Grid Electricity Transmission (National Grid / 'the Applicant') and East Riding of Yorkshire Council regarding the proposed North Humber to High Marnham Development Consent Order (DCO) application ('the Application').

1.1.2 Section 4 sets out the matters 'agreed' between the Parties, for which no further issues have been raised. It also identifies matters that remain 'under discussion', where position has not yet been reached and further negotiations are required to establish an agreed approach.

1.1.3 This SoCG is structured as follows:

- Section 1: An introduction to this SoCG and a description of its purpose
- Section 2: Gives a description of the project.
- Section 3: Summarises engagement between Parties to date
- Section 4: Summarises the key matters and captures the status of each issue / matter.
- Section 5: Sets out matters not agreed between the Parties.
- Section 6: Sets out the approvals and the signing off sheet between the Parties.

1.1.4 The aim of the SoCG is to clarify the shared understanding of any issues and facilitate an efficient resolution process. Any reference to 'the Parties' means the National Grid and East Riding of Yorkshire Council.

1.1.5 This draft SoCG has been prepared at an early phase of the DCO process, ahead of submission. It is intended to be a live and working document which will be updated as the project progresses and shared with East Riding of Yorkshire Council at key points for discussion. A final SoCG will be prepared ahead of the close of the DCO Examination. Unlike a final SoCG, this draft SoCG has not been officially signed by either party.

2. Project Description

2.1.1 The North Humber to High Marnham Project (the Project) is a new 400 kilovolt (kV) electricity transmission connection of approximately 90 kilometres (km) in overall length between two proposed new substations: the 400 kV Birkhill Wood Substation and 400 kV High Marnham Substation.

2.1.2 The proposed new substations are subject to separate planning applications. For more information, see **Application Document 5.4 Consents and Licences Required Under Other Legislation**.

2.1.3 The Project comprises:

- Approximately 90 km of new overhead line (Route Code 4AF) between the proposed new 400 kV Birkhill Wood Substation and 400 kV High Marnham Substation;
- Replacement and re-alignment of a section of the existing 400 kV overhead line (Route Code 4ZQ) between Brantingham and east of Broomfleet;
- Replacement and re-alignment of a section of the existing 400 kV overhead line (Route Code ZDA) between Ealand and west of Keadby;
- Line entry connections to a proposed new 400 kV Birkhill Wood Substation;
- Line entry connections to a proposed new 400 kV High Marnham Substation; and
- The Project would include other required works, for example, temporary diversions for works on existing overhead line routes, temporary access roads, highway works, temporary works compounds, work sites and ancillary works. The Project would also include utility diversions and drainage works. There would also be land required for environmental mitigation, offsetting, compensation and Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG).

2.1.4 A full description of the Project is provided in **Application Document 6.4 Environmental Statement Chapter 4 Description of the Project**.

3. Record of Engagement

3.1 Role of the Consultee in the process

3.1.1 East Riding of Yorkshire Council is one of the four local planning authorities within the Order limits. As a unitary authority, East Riding of Yorkshire Council determines planning applications for the majority of development types in the district and has a statutory duty to prepare a local development plan.

3.1.2 A period of non-statutory consultation was held for eight weeks, between 1 June 2023 and 27 July 2023. ERYC submitted a response to this Consultation. ERYC did not submit a response to the Planning Inspectorate following the applicant's request for a scoping opinion in August 2023.

3.1.3 Statutory consultation was held for a period of eight weeks from 18 February 2025 to 15 April 2025. That consultation provided an opportunity to see how the proposals had developed, how previous feedback had been considered and sought the views of the public and stakeholders on the updated proposals. ERYC submitted a response to this consultation.

3.2 Summary of pre-application discussions

3.2.1 Table 3.1 provides an overview of the engagement that has taken place between National Grid and ERYC.

Table 3.1 – Summary of Engagement between National Grid and ERYC

Date	Format	Topic	Description
01/10/2021	Meeting	**Initial meeting**	Initial Microsoft Teams meeting held by National Grid to introduce ERYC to the project and progress to date.
12/07/2022	Email	**Non-statutory consultation strategy**	Non-statutory consultation strategy shared by National Grid by email to inform upcoming meetings and consultation programme.
14/07/2022	Meeting	**Project briefing**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give an introduction to the project, the consultation process, resourcing and community benefits.
06/01/2023	Meeting	**Project update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give an update on the proposed corridor, local authority boundaries and project substations.
25/04/2023	Meeting	**Project update and EIA methodology**	In-person meeting held by National Grid at RAISE Business Centre (Goole) to give an

Date	Format	Topic	Description
			overview of the recent updates, Yorkshire Wolds, river crossing, ornithology, consultation process and the associated methodologies of assessments.
30/05/2023	Letter	**Notice of public consultation**	Letter shared by National Grid to give notice of the public consultation period for the project and the associated
31/05/2023	Email	**Notice of public consultation**	Email shared by National Grid to establish consultation information and engagement on scoping methodology.
31/05/2023	Letter	**Notification of the start of Statutory Consultation**	Letter notification for the start of Statutory Consultation as a prescribed consultee under Section 42 of the Planning Act 2008
07/08/2023	Meeting	**Project update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to update on post non-statutory consultation, next steps, social value strategy and future engagement.
22/08/2023	Email	**Scoping Report**	Email shared by National Grid signposting the Scoping Report and innovation and capacity fund.
06/09/2023	Email	**Consultation response**	Briefing shared by National Grid by email to discuss consultation responses and pre-application advice from ERYC.
20/09/2023	Meeting	**Project update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give ERYC an overview update and more detail on Route Sections.
04/07/2024	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give an update on timeframes, consultation and strategic access reviews.
09/09/2024	Email	**Creyke Back Substation**	Email shared to acknowledge 24/02330/STPREP Creyke Back Substation application.
30/09/2024	Meeting	**Archaeology**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to discuss heritage assets and designations interacting with the project, surveys and trial trenching.
18/02/2025	Letter	**Statutory consultation**	Notification about the beginning of the statutory consultation period and inviting ERYC to submit feedback.
13/03/2025	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give overview of programme, access plans and design progress.

Date	Format	Topic	Description
27/04/2025	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to discuss updates on access management and PRoWs.
01/04/2025	Email	**Consultation Comment**	Pre-planning comment shared by ERYC's Countryside Access Team engaging on the statutory maintenance rights of the PRoW network to inform management plans.
01/04/2025	Meeting	**Project Meeting**	Microsoft Teams meeting with ERYC and Yorkshire Wold Way. Discussion included: statutory consultation feedback and PEIR PRoW table; Yorkshire Wolds Way National Trail; potential PRoW diversions and next steps.
02/04/2025	Meeting	**Landscape & Visual and Ecology**	Thematic meeting with ERYC on landscape, visual, and ecological impacts. Matters presented included: project overview, statutory consultation feedback and PEIR PRoW table; potential PRoW diversions and next steps; terminology; and national trail.
03/04/2025	Meeting	**Project Update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid with ERYC. ERYC updated on engagement.
15/04/2025	Letter	**Statutory Consultation**	Letter from ERYC setting out their response to statutory consultation.
17/04/2025	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to discuss routeing and restriction options.
08/05/2025	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to give an update on routeing engagement.
29/05/2025	Meeting	**Traffic and transport**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid to progress actions and route feasibility.
10/07/2025	Meeting	**Project Update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting update meeting between National Grid consents lead and ERYC planning officer to discuss heritage stat con feedback and heritage significance.
03/09/2025	Meeting	**Landscape and Visual Thematic Meeting**	Microsoft Teams meeting between National Grid, ERYC, Bassetlaw District Council, Nottinghamshire County Council, and North Lincolnshire Council to introduce the Viewpoint Selection Document and

Date	Format	Topic	Description
			clarification on statutory consultation feedback.
04/09/2025	Meeting	**Project Update**	Microsoft Teams meeting providing a project update held between National Grid lead Consents Officer and ERYC Principal Planning Officer. ERYC challenges with accessing shapefiles raised.
11/09/2025	Meeting	**Ecology Meeting**	Microsoft Teams Meeting between National Grid, Bassetlaw District Council, ERYC, and North Lincolnshire Council, providing an update on status of ecological surveys.
02/10/25	Meeting	**Socio-economics, recreation and tourism and Health and wellbeing**	Microsoft Teams Meeting held by National Grid with ERYC. ERYC updated on assessment approaches, study areas, and the scoping opinion and statutory consultation responses.
13/11/2025	Meeting	**Project Update**	Microsoft Teams Meeting update meeting between National Grid consents lead and ERYC planning officer to discuss updates.
12/01/2026	Meeting	**ERYC TTRO Workshop 1**	Microsoft Teams Meeting between National Grid, ERYC, the Logistics Manager and Logistics Lead, and senior planner LSTC. Presentation by the NHHM Traffic and Transport team lead by Jacobs.
15/01/2026	Meeting	**Built Heritage Discussion**	Microsoft Team Meeting between National Grid and ERYC Conservation Officer to discuss built heritage.
22/01/2026	Meeting	**Yellow Birds Nest Meeting**	Microsoft Team Meeting between National Grid and ERYC on identification of Yellow Bird's Nest plant.
29/01/2026	Meeting	**Project Update**	Microsoft Teams update meeting between National Grid and ERYC with discussion of veteran trees and pre-commencement works.
11/02/2026	Meeting	**ERYC TTRO Workshop 2**	Microsoft Teams Meeting between National Grid, ERYC, the Logistics Manager and Logistics Lead, and senior planner LSTC. Presentation by the NHHM Traffic and Transport team lead by Jacobs, with highways widening discussed.
26/03/2026	Meeting	**Project update**	Microsoft Teams update meeting between National Grid and ERYC.

Date	Format	Topic	Description
21/05/2026	Meeting	**Project update**	Meeting between National Grid and ERYC Lead Local Flood Authority representative to discuss surface water drainage strategy.
24/06/2026	Meeting	**Project update**	Meeting to introduce pre-commencement strategy and TCPA strategy.
25/06/2026	Email	**Air Quality Assessment**	Email between National Grid and ERYC to confirm the proposed air quality assessment methodology.

4. Matters Agreed or Under Discussion

4.1 Overview

4.1.1 This section details the matters relevant to ERYC, which have been 'agreed' or are still 'under discussion' between the Parties. Matters are arranged by topic (using broad headings, or Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) chapter headings where appropriate) and each matter is given a unique reference number to aid identification.

4.1.2 The red, amber, green status shows, at a glance, the level of agreement with ERYC, as seen in Table 4.1.

Table 4.1 – Agreement Status

Status	Description
Not Agreed	Indicates a final position, where it has not been possible to resolve the issue to the agreement of both parties and there remains a difference of opinion.
Under Discussion	Indicates where issues are the subject of active on-going discussion.
Agreed	Indicates where an issue has been agreed or resolved satisfactorily to the agreement of both parties.

4.2 Draft Development Consent Order

Table 4.2 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to the draft Development Consent Order

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.2.1	Heads of Terms	National Grid Lands Team are authoring Heads of Terms Agreements with LPAs and they will be shared with LPAs in due course	ERYC await further information in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.3 Need and Alternatives

Table 4.3 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Need and Alternatives

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.3.1	Needs Case	Both parties agree that there is an established need for the Project in accordance with NPS EN-1.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed

4.4 Principles of the Project and Design

Table 4.4 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to the Principles of the Project

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.4.1	Proposed routing	As set out in **Application Document 7.20 Design Development Report 2025**, the Project alignment developed in response to feedback collected at the non-statutory consultation, statutory consultation, further environmental and technical assessments, and a review of work undertaken to date is considered appropriate.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed
4.4.2	June to July 2023 non-statutory consultation	Non-statutory consultation took place between 1 June and 27 July 2023. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in the Feedback Report. The non-statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed
4.4.3	9 July and 6 August 2024 Localised non-statutory consultation	Localised non-statutory consultation took place between 9 July and 6 August 2024. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Consultation Strategy, and responses to feedback received during consultation are included in the Feedback Report. The non-statutory	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published Consultation Strategy.			
4.4.4	February to April 2025 statutory consultation	Statutory Consultation took place from 18 February to 15 April 2025. Details of this consultation are outlined in the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC). Responses to feedback received during statutory consultation are contained within the Consultation Feedback Report. The statutory consultation was undertaken in accordance with the published SoCC. The statutory consultation process undertaken by the Applicant, including the approach to publicity and consultation materials, is set out in the Adequacy of Consultation Milestone. ERYC noted that information regarding the use of the Goole Times and the online poster was shared with relevant stakeholders within the PCZ but was not published online, as set out in the SoCC. The Applicant's explanation regarding the consultation approach and publicity undertaken is set out in the Adequacy of Consultation Milestone.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed

4.5 Landscape and Visual

Table 4.5 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Landscape

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.5.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Landscape and Visual assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context**, **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.2	Study area	The study area for assessing Landscape and Visual set out in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document**	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual.	**6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.		
4.5.4	Assessment methodology (including LVIA methodology and viewpoints)	The assessment methodology and assessment of significant landscape and visual effects within the ES is considered correct and appropriate.	Consideration should be given to adding viewpoints from public highways or rights of way which have views along corridors for example West and/or east of VP2.3, near Rowley Cottage or Dale Road respectively, and Ellerker Rd / Ings Lane, west of Broomfleet. More clarification has been requested on how the Holford Rules influence judgements of Susceptibility.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Landscape and Visual assessment are summarised in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Landscape and Visual are presented in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. The	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.			
4.5.7	Mitigation Measures	The mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Landscape and Visual effects, are set out in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. The mitigation measures are considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of their nature and scale, to address potential effects. Further information on the additional mitigation planting areas is set out in **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan**.	ERYC are concerned with enhancement and mitigation, suggesting that while National Grids's BNG approach will focus on biodiversity, it is unlikely to provide much by way of landscape enhancements and screening. Requested that National Grid consider this as part of BNG approach – need to be considered both separately and together. The NHHM Project will need to ensure proportionate mitigation to address its landscape and visual impacts. A document was produced and shared (15/12/2025) by ERYC's consultant, titled "Developer obligations to mitigate Landscape and Visual Effects 2025-12-08.pdf" which sets out an understanding of available documents, statements and legislation regarding the mitigation of Landscape and Visual effects of development, National Grid have responded to the policies set out in this document, and signposted to relevant evidence within application documents. ERYC awaits these application documents to confirm their position.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
			Minutes of a thematic meeting, 3 Sept 2025 stated that National Grid…stated they are *"not proposing to include extensive planting or wider enhancements on NHHM, due to standardised approach across Great Grid Upgrade (GGU) projects"* and *"In addition, the scale and number of projects across the GGU means there has been a push back on wider enhancement strategies"*. This is not considered to be a proportionate, suitable or appropriate approach, particularly when a delivery agency (Humber Forest) exists for East Yorkshire and much of North Lincolnshire. ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.		
4.5.8	Assessment of effects	The assessment of effects is presented in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. The assessment of effects presented is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position. ERYC has asked for a summary table to help compare criteria and stated Landscape and Visual effects.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.5.9	Outline CoCP	The **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.10	Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments	The actions and commitments set out in **Application Document 7.3 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments** are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.3 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.11	Outline LEMP	The **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan** includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** and is appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan**, **Application Document 6.6 Environmental Statement Chapter 6 Landscape** and **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.5.12	Confirmation of Viewpoints	The viewpoints selected represent an appropriate range of receptors and are considered suitable and appropriate for	The preliminary assessment of Potentially Significant Landscape and Visual Effects, within the PEIR Chapters 6 and 7,	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		the assessment of landscape and visual impacts. Additional viewpoints have been added into the assessment on the back of engagement with the LPA and are presented in **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**. These viewpoints were agreed through thematic group meetings.	appears to be appropriate. It correctly identifies the relevant receptors and whether or not they are likely to be subject to Significant Effects. This forms a suitable basis for carrying forward to the LVIA. On 7/10/2025, ERYC noted *"We can expect to see some substantial construction effects in various locations, e.g. VP3.1 Cave Road. Please ensure that these effects are considered in the selection of Viewpoints such that they can be illustrated, where appropriate, as part of the Construction Phase assessment. This should include compounds, access routes, crossing protection, and visibility splays where these may result in loss of hedgerows."* The Draft Landscape Assessment issued 6/5/2026 made judgements about effects on LCAs at the Humber crossing point. Based on **Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual**, it would appear that this crossing is not adequately represented. PEIR VP4.5 / B-03 would benefit from a clear easterly view of existing and proposed tall pylons on both banks. Additional viewpoints have been added to the assessment which have addressed ERYC feedback. ERYC awaits		

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
			Application Document 6.7 Environmental Statement Chapter 7 Visual to confirm their position.		

4.6 Ecology and Ornithology

Table 4.6 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Ecology and Ornithology

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.6.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Ecology and Ornithology assessments is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context**, **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessments.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context**, **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.2	Study area	The Ecology and Ornithology study areas set out in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** are considered suitable for the assessments.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.6.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessments presented within **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.4	Assessment methodology	The assessment methodologies presented in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** are considered sufficient and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.5	Survey methodology	More detailed botanical survey, including National Vegetation Classification surveys where appropriate, was carried out in summer 2025. These surveys targeted affected locations within the Order Limits where the Phase 1 habitat survey identified habitat as particularly species diverse, sensitive or restricted in the UK or region. This included areas of grassland and woodland. As set out in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9**	The council request that at a minimum all priority habitats, woodlands, hedgerows and semi-improved grasslands are subject to further survey with poor semi-improved grassland considered dependant on timing of the initial Phase 1 survey. ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Ornithology, surveys are being conducted for the relevant protected species and these are considered appropriate.			
4.6.6	Invertebrate and reptile surveys	The working areas have been adjusted to avoid Brantingham Dale SSSI and the Humber Estuary SSSI, therefore reptile and invertebrate surveys have not been carried out in these areas to support the ecology assessment. Areas of woodland in the Brantingham area will be oversailed by the proposed overhead line resulting in temporary habitat loss and disturbance, with the permanent loss of some trees. The siting of pylons will also involve some tree loss. However, this will open up some areas of woodland, potentially increasing the suitability of the habitat in the long term for reptiles and certain invertebrates, with the surrounding woodland retained. Areas of woodland planting to mitigate for the losses within Brantingham Dale have been included as additional mitigation measures as part of the Project and are detailed in **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan**.	ERYC suggest terrestrial invertebrate and reptile surveys for Brantingham Dale and support terrestrial invertebrates at the Humber Estuary. ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan** and **Application Document 7.3 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Measures that will be carried out to protect reptiles during construction are detailed in **Application Document 7.3 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments**.			
4.6.7	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Ecology and Ornithology are presented in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.8	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures relevant to effects on ecological and ornithological features are outlined in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology**. Mitigation measures are considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of nature and scale, to address potential significant effects on relevant important ecological and ornithological features.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.6.9	Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA)	**Application Document 7.14 Arboricultural Impact Assessment** provides the AIA and the methodology adopted is considered adequate and appropriate and aligns with the Scoping Report.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.14 Arboricultural Impact Assessment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.10	Assessment Conclusions	The conclusions of the ecology and ornithology assessments are presented in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology**. The assessments presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.11	Trees	The impact on trees and the mitigation measures relevant to effects on ecological and ornithological features are outlined in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and are considered appropriate.	A Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) indicates that the Arboricultural surveys are ongoing and vegetation not finalised and this was confirmed at a thematic meeting with the National Grid team including their Arboricultural Consultant and this information will be shown on plans submitted as part of the application for the DCO and addressed within the relevant chapters of the ES with any vegetation within the draft Order Limits potentially being removed dependant on the final line. Therefore, the specific arboricultural impacts of the scheme have	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
			not been illustrated as this time and we request that removal of trees is always limited to that which is absolutely necessary. The East Riding of Yorkshire has potentially one of the lowest woodland coverages in the country and the loss of woodlands should be avoided, and if required and unavoidable should be mitigated and compensated locally.		
4.6.12	Veteran Trees	National Grid propose a 30:1 tree replacement ratio where loss of veteran trees is necessary to facilitate construction of the Project. Relevant factors when considering ratio include the size of replacement tree to be planted and use of other mitigation methods delivered as a package of works.	The preference would be to retain Veteran Trees or in the worst-case scenario retain the tree as a monolith/or veteranisation for habitat. Further comment will be provided by ERYC on the appropriate planting ratios once the impact on veteran trees is known.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.13	Outline LEMP	**Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan** includes all relevant operational related mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.8 Outline Landscape and Ecology Management Plan**, **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology and is appropriate.			
4.6.14	Outline Code of Construction Practice	**Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified within **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** and is appropriate for managing the construction impacts from the Project.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice**, **Application Document 6.8 Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Ecology** and **Application Document 6.9 Environmental Statement Chapter 9 Ornithology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.15	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Approach and Assessment)	National Grid will deliver at least 10 % BNG with wider environmental and societal benefits on its construction projects. **Application Document 7.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Report** sets out the approach to BNG. Offsite BNG will be secured by a legal agreement and delivered through collaboration with partners and purchased from commercially registered providers.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Report** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.6.16	Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) (Delivery Mechanism)	BNG will be delivered off site and National Grid will look to implement habitat enhancement and creation through delivery of BNG. Where possible BNG will be delivered through partnerships seeking to provide wider community benefits.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Report** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.6.17	Locations for BNG habitat creation/enhancement	National Grid are in discussion with landowners and national conservation/environmental organisations over habitat enhancement and creation.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.1 Biodiversity Net Gain Report** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.7 Cultural Heritage

Table 4.7 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Cultural Heritage

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.7.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Cultural Heritage assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter**	ERYC await **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		10 Cultural Heritage. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
4.7.2	Study area	The study area set out in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** is considered acceptable.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.7.3	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Cultural Heritage is set out within **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage**.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.7.4	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Cultural Heritage are presented in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.7.5	Mitigation Measures	Standard mitigation measures to reduce potential effects during construction are summarised in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** and **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice**, and are secured in **Application**	The proposed development has the potential to result in harm to the significance of a number of heritage assets. However, the level of this harm would be less than substantial in all cases, and it would have a very negligible to low impact on their significance. It is considered that this has been accurately represented within the relevant chapters	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Document 7.9 Outline Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation and **Application Document 7.10 Outline Cultural Heritage Management Plan**. The standard mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects.	of the supporting PEIR document. As such, no objections are raised at this stage but we emphasise the importance of the implementation of the relevant mitigations set out within the supporting information. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.		
4.7.6	Assessment findings	The results of the Cultural Heritage assessment are set out within **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** and the findings are considered to be appropriately assessed.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.7.7	Outline CoCP	The **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. **Application Document 7.9 Outline Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation** and **Application Document 7.10 Outline Cultural Heritage Management Plan** present	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.9 Outline Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation** and **Application Document 7.10 Outline Cultural Heritage Management Plan** to review the measures prior to confirming their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		appropriate additional mitigation measures; the content and approach are considered appropriate and proportionate for managing construction impacts from the Project.			
4.7.8	Viewpoints	Viewpoints for Cultural Heritage Assessment	Matter Agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	25/02/2026	Agreed
4.7.9	Intrusive evaluation	Extent and scope of Stage 1 archaeological trial trench evaluation	Matter Agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	21/01/2025	Agreed
4.7.10	Non-intrusive evaluation	Extent and scope of archaeological geophysical survey	Matter Agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	11/11/2024	Agreed
4.7.11	Building Conservation	The wording contained in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** is considered to be appropriate.	ERYC are concerned with the choice of wording within the Preliminary Assessment. For each route section the document sets out the number of assets (as well as identifying them) that are likely to be subject to 'significant effects'. It would appear from reading the document that 'significant effects' actually refers to the effects on the significance of the identified assets. The choice of wording has the potential to alter the interpretation of the assessment set out within the document. As such, it is recommended that the terminology relating to the impact on the heritage assets is reviewed for clarity, and to more closely follow the definition of significance in the NPPF.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.7.12	Archaeology	The **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.10 Environmental Statement Chapter 10 Cultural Heritage** and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project. **Application Document 7.9 Outline Archaeological Written Scheme of Investigation** and **Application Document 7.10 Outline Cultural Heritage Management Plan** present appropriate additional mitigation measures; the content and approach are considered appropriate and proportionate for managing impacts on archaeology.	The Councils archaeological advisors, Humber Historic Environment Record have advised that clarification is sought on whether the installation of the new pylons and overhead lines will effectively 'sterilise' the ground and mean that the significance etc of archaeological remains won't be able to be reassessed as a later date. Other ground impacts of the scheme will also need to be included in the assessment, this could include details such as compounds, swales etc. To ensure that there is as much information as possible and in order to allow an informed decision on the impact that the scheme would have on archaeological resources, it is advised that a programme of trial trenching should be undertaken prior to the submission of the DCO application.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.8 Hydrology and Land Drainage

Table 4.8 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Hydrology and Land Drainage

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.8.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the water environment assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.2	Study area	The study area set out within **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** is considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for the assessment of the water environment is set out within **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		11 Water Environment and is considered suitable and appropriate.			
4.8.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors in relation to the water environment are set out in **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** and these are considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.6	Mitigation Measures	Details of the drainage proposals are included within the Outline Surface Water Drainage Strategy. The mitigation measures required in relation to the management of impacts on the water environment are set out within **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** and are considered sufficient and appropriate.	ERYC consider the overarching principles for the management of surface water runoff during construction as reasonable. ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.11 Environmental Statement Chapter 11 Water Environment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.7	Flood Risk Assessment	**Application Document 7.13 Flood Risk Assessment** provides a flood risk assessment for the Proposed Development and is considered sufficient and appropriate. This will be supplemented by an Outline Surface Water Drainage Strategy that will be submitted alongside the Flood Risk Assessment. A meeting was held on 21 May 2026	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.13 Flood Risk Assessment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
		to discuss and agree key surface water drainage principles.			
4.8.8	Water Framework Directive Assessment	**Application Document 7.12 Water Framework Directive Assessment** provides the water framework directive assessment and is considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.12 Water Framework Directive Assessment** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.8.9	Outline CoCP	The **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.9 Geology and Hydrogeology

Table 4.9 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Geology and Hydrogeology

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.9.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Geology and Hydrogeology assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
4.9.2	Study area	The study area set out in **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.9.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.9.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for assessing Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology is set out in **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** and is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.9.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Contaminated Land, Geology and Hydrogeology are presented in **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology**. The	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.			
4.9.6	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures in relation to geology and hydrogeology are set out in **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** and are considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.9.7	Assessment Conclusions	The results of the geology and hydrogeology assessment are presented in **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** and impacts are considered acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.12 Environmental Statement Chapter 12 Geology and Hydrogeology** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.10 Agriculture and Soils

Table 4.10 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Agriculture and Soil

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.10.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the agriculture and soils assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.10.2	Study area	The study area set out within **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** is considered suitable.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.10.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.10.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology set out within **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** is considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.10.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for agriculture and soils are presented in **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.10.6	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures in relation to the management of soils and agriculture are set out within **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** and are considered suitable and appropriate. The specified measures are managed through **Application Document 7.5 Outline Soil Management Plan**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** and **Application Document 7.5 Outline Soil Management Plan** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.10.7	Assessment conclusions	The results of the assessment on agriculture and soils are contained within **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** and the impacts are considered acceptable	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.13 Environmental Statement Chapter 13 Agriculture and Soils** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
		following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.			
4.10.8	Outline Soil Management Plan	**Application Document 7.5 Outline Soil Management Plan** details the measures for soil management.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.5 Outline Soil Management Plan** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.11 Traffic and Transport

Table 4.11 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Traffic and Transport

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.11.1	Policy and legislation	In discussions with East Riding of Yorkshire Council to date, National Grid have established the use of the following guidance: • Safety at Street Works and Road Works A Code of Practice 'Red Book' – Department for Transport, October 2013. • The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges – National Highways • Environmental Assessment of Traffic and Movement (EATM) Guidance - Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA), 2023	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Traffic and Transport assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
4.11.2	Study area	The study area set out in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology set out within **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** is considered suitable.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.11.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions associated with the Traffic and Transport assessment are summarised in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**. The key parameters and assumptions presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Traffic and Transport are presented in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.7	Mitigation Measures	The mitigation measures, designed as an inherent part of the Project relevant to Traffic and Transport effects, are set out in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**. This includes **Application Document 7.4 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan**, which includes all relevant mitigation measures and traffic routing. The mitigation measures are considered appropriate and	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** and **Application Document 7.4 Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		adequate, in terms of their nature and scale, to address potential effects. National Grid will continue to engage with ERYC on this matter.			
4.11.8	Additional mitigation	The consideration of additional mitigation measures is presented in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport**. Additional mitigation is considered appropriate and adequate, in terms of its nature and scale, to address potential effects. National Grid will continue to engage with ERYC on this matter.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	
4.11.9	Construction effects	The assessment of effects during construction is presented in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** and is considered appropriate. It sets out that the main impact on the highway network will be during the construction phase, although work will occur along the length of the Project so work in a given area will be shorter than the overall construction programme. Construction traffic will arrive at and leave the Site outside peak construction hours.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.11.10	Outline Construction Traffic Management Plan (OCTMP)	The approach to the OCTMP has been discussed at meetings including those held on 12 January 2026. During these discussions, the Applicant confirmed that an OCTMP will be submitted with the application and will set out the overarching framework for managing construction traffic impacts. ERYC indicated it is content with the proposed principles, including advance notice requirements for TTROs and ongoing communication with both the authority and the public regarding planned works. However, the Council has requested sight of the draft OCTMP prior to submission to enable confirmation of its acceptability. The Applicant has confirmed that this will be provided ahead of submission, and both parties will continue to engage on finalising the document. The parties are in agreement that an OCTMP will be submitted as part of the DCO application, with detailed measures to be secured by Requirement and further developed post-consent. The OCTMP will include provisions relating to construction routeing, HGV management, workforce movements,	ERYC is content in with the proposed approach of including an OCTMP secured through the DCO. They have also confirmed they are content with the inclusion of a 3-month advance notification period via a protective provision and a minimum 21-day notice period prior to commencement of works affecting the highway network. The Council require sight of the draft OCTMP prior to submission to confirm its acceptability.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		traffic management measures, and stakeholder engagement, including communications relating to planned works. It will also include commitments regarding advance notice of Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs).			
4.11.11	Construction Traffic Flows	Construction traffic flows and Primary Access Routes (PARs) have been discussed as part of ongoing engagement between the parties. The Applicant has assessed HGV movements, workforce trips and peak construction activity associated with the various phases of the Proposed Development. This is set out in **Application Document 7.15 Transport Assessment** and is considered appropriate. The Traffic Flow Diagrams and PAR mapping for the NHHM Project were sent to East Riding of Yorkshire Council on 15/06/26. The parties are working towards agreement that the Applicant's construction traffic flow forecasts, including HGV and workforce movements across all construction phases, provide a robust and proportionate assessment of likely	ERYC is awaiting **Application Document 7.15 Transport Assessment** and information on the construction traffic, including confirmation of Primary Access Routes (PARs), to review the robustness of the construction traffic assumptions and their distribution across the network.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		impacts on the local highway network.			
4.11.12	Route for securing Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTROs)	This approach has been discussed at regular meetings with the Local Highway Authority (LHA) and was agreed at a meeting on 13/1/26 with minutes confirmed by the LHA on 6/2/26. It has been agreed that the TTROs will be secured as part of the DCO.	Subject to appropriate notices being required by the DCO. The inclusion of a 3-month advance notification period via a protective provision and a minimum 28-day notice period prior to commencement of works affecting the highway network.	13/01/2026	
4.11.13	Temporary Traffic Regulation Orders (TTRO) speed limits	This has been discussed at regular meetings with the LHA along with structured workshops held on 2/10/25 and more recently at a workshop on 13/1/26 where an excel based log was shared with the LHA. The speed limit reductions proposed on the approach to the bellmouths are based on red book guidance and have been suggested where bellmouths are located on high speed roads and a reduction is required for the safety of road users. These have been the subject of ongoing discussion with the LHA, who returned 'no objection' comments within the excel log on 11/2/26 by email. Parties are in agreement on the proposals for speed limit reductions	ERYC is content with the proposed approach to speed limit reductions subject to subject to further information being provided through the formal TTRO process, Streetworks notifications, traffic management proposals and all associated details within the DCTMP. The Project will include for this within the proposals.	11/02/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		on the approach to the proposed construction bellmouths which will be secured through TTROs through the DCO.			
4.11.14	TTRO Closures/diversions	Discussions on TTROs and diversion routes have taken place through a series of engagements. An excel based tracker was issued on 26/3/6 requesting feedback from the LHA. Written feedback was received between 7/4/26 and 14/4/26. Comments have been recorded and tracked through Excel-based trackers capturing agreed and outstanding matters. Further feedback has refined diversion routes, closure extents, and introduced requirements relating to construction coordination, signage, surveys, remedial works, enforcement, and stakeholder engagement. The Applicant is reviewing these matters and confirms that the TTROs for closures and diversions will be secured through the DCO and the OCTMP, with ongoing engagement to resolve any outstanding technical points. The parties are working towards agreement on a series of proposed	ERYC has confirmed that the majority of proposed diversion routes are acceptable in principle, subject to refinement at specific locations and adjustment to the proposed diversions required for: • Dunflat Road, • Little Weighton Road, • Lambwell Hill, • Common Road, and; • Carr Lane • Landing Lane. ERYC also requested dilapidation surveys in certain areas, the inclusion of some formalised passing places within certain areas and a temporary speed limit reduction on certain diversion routes.	14/04/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		closures/diversions that will be required to facilitate construction works associated with the Proposed Development. The Applicant's approach is to maximise use of planned closures to undertake multiple activities where practicable, coordinated through the OCTMP.			
4.11.15	TTRO Traffic Management (signals)	Discussions on TTROs relating to traffic management signals have taken place through a series of engagements, including a workshop held on 12 January, with written feedback received on 11 February 2026 and subsequent correspondence. Comments have been recorded and tracked through Excel-based trackers capturing agreed and outstanding matters. Further feedback has refined the proposals The Applicant is reviewing these matters and confirms that TTRO strategy will be secured through the OCTMP, with ongoing engagement to resolve any outstanding technical points. Working towards agreement of the proposed Traffic Management (signals).	ERYC has confirmed that they have no objection in principle with the 2 instances of traffic signals proposed subject to drawings of the proposals being provided for approval and the Skidby junction being 4 way control and vehicle actuated.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.11.16	TTRO parking suspensions	On 11/2/26 a workshop was held where the proposed parking restrictions were presented. This information was then shared 12/2/26. It is understood that the Project will provide resource for enforcement via contractors. This is to be set out and agreed within the OCTMP. Feedback was received from ERYC on 17/2/26 confirming agreement on the parking suspensions. The Applicant will continue to develop proposals through the OCTMP and ongoing engagement. The parties are in agreement on the proposed parking restrictions to support construction traffic and minimise impacts.	ERYC has confirmed acceptability of parking suspensions.	17/02/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.17	Disapplication of existing Traffic Regulation Orders (Weight Limits – Walkington Area Zone)	Discussions commenced on 17 July 2025 and ERYC abnormal loads team were content with the proposed routing. Since then, the Applicant has confirmed it will seek disapplication through the DCO where necessary. It has been agreed by the Applicant that the Proposed Development will seek disapplication of the existing 7.5 tonne weight restriction via provisions within the DCO, where required to	ERYC acknowledges the restriction and the Applicant's intention to rely on DCO disapplication powers, and requires clarity on extent, duration, and impacts.	17/07/2025	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		facilitate construction traffic movements.			
4.11.18	Bellmouth Locations	Bellmouth locations and numbers have been discussed over an extended period and tracked through workshops and Excel logs. A detailed workshop was held on 12 January 2026 with the excel data issued 19 January 2026. Comments were returned from the LHA on 11 February 2026. The locations of the bellmouths are considered to be suitable, subject to final design details and subject to appropriate phasing/construction programming. It was also confirmed that the BMs will be removed post construction other than where they are adjusting an existing access. The parties are in agreement on the indicative design and construction methodology for the bellmouth access points.	ERYC is content in principle, subject to final design details.	11/02/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.19	Bellmouth Indicative Construction Design	An indicative construction drawing was shared on 30 September 2025 showing compacted hardcore with an asphalt topping to match the highway, Asphalt tie-in, and kerbing. The approach is considered suitable	ERYC is content in principle, subject to final design details.	2/10/2025	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		at the meeting held 2/10/25, with final details to be agreed. This was confirmed again at the meeting held on 13/1/26 with minutes confirmed by the LHA on 6/2/26. The parties are in agreement on the indicative design and construction methodology for the bellmouth access points.			
4.11.20	Highway Widening	Proposals were presented on 11 February 2026 and issued on 12 February 2026, with feedback received on 17 February 2026. The LHA were asked to confirm the acceptability of the widening proposals. They confirmed agreement subject to further information being provided as part of the TTRO/DCO process. ERYC emailed on 24/3/26 to confirm that those areas of passing places and localised widening typically should remain in place following completion of the NHHM works. Those that require additional land outside the public highway extents should be reverted back. ERYC provided the Project with their typical passing place plan drawing on 20/3/26, the project is reviewing this.	ERYC has confirmed the acceptability of widening subject to further information being provided through the formal TTRO/TRO process, Streetworks notifications, traffic management proposals, diversion plans and all associated details within the CTMP. ERYC confirmed that passing places/widening which didn't require additional land outside of the public highway could remain. ERYC suggested that passing places should be 5.5m in width and provided a ERYC diagram 20/3/26.	17/02/2026 and 24/03/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		The parties are at agreement in principle on the location and justification of the proposed highway widening works. Following initial discussions on the retention of the highway widening post delivery, the Applicant has now taken the decision to reinstate the road widening, post delivery of the Project. The retention of the road widening was considered unfeasible due to environmental impacts. Width of widened elements under discussion.			
4.11.21	DNO Works	Proposals were presented on 11 February 2026 and issued on 12 February 2026, with feedback received on 17 February 2026 confirming agreement subject to further information being provided through the formal TTRO/TRO process, Streetworks notifications, traffic management proposals, diversion plans and all associated details within the OCTMP. The parties are in agreement on the current DNO proposals.	ERYC has confirmed acceptability of the DNO works.	17/02/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.22	Temporary road closures and	The Applicant has prepared diversion packs for stringing closures, which were shared 23/4/26. The Council returned their comments on 11/5/26.	ERYC have responded with comments on the string activities, resulting closures and diversions.		Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
	diversions required for overhead line stringing	Engagement will continue up to and following submission. The Applicant has considered the comments, and changes requested, and actioned the necessary amendments to the diversions. The parties are in agreement on the current stringing proposals.			
4.11.23	Approach to Highway Safety Review	The Applicant issued a methodology document titled *"North Humber to High Marnham – Approach to Highway Safety Review"* to ERYC on 4 February 2026. This outlined how highway safety would be considered as part of the Transport Assessment, including the identification of potential risks and appropriate mitigation measures. The Council's Principal Engineer responded on 15 February 2026 confirming that they were happy with the approach noting that they have adopted GG119 in their RSA policy. The Applicant subsequently confirmed that it would continue to engage on this matter as the Transport Assessment and DCO drafting progresses.	ERYC has confirmed that it has no issues with the proposed approach to the Highway Safety Review as set out in the Applicant's methodology document. The Council will continue to engage with the Applicant to agree any requirements for post-consent safety review processes to be secured through the DCO.	15/02/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		The parties are in agreement on the approach to the highway safety review			
4.11.24	The weight limit of the bridge over the of Brantingham Road Bridge over the A63 (The Outgang) outside of Brantingham	Discussed in early 2025 and confirmed in a meeting with ERYC 10/7/25. The bridge is owned by National Highways. ERYC own the surface layer. It was confirmed that the bridge has a weight limit of 44 tonnes. ERYC confirmed that access over the bridge is fine subject to dilapidation surveys being completed. The appropriate weight limit has been confirmed.	Confirmed as 44 tonnes by National Highways.	10/07/2025	Under Discussion
4.11.25	Public Rights of Way (PRoW).	Engagement has taken place since early 2025 and more recently included workshop meetings on 19/9/25 and 12/12/26 and subsequent correspondence indicating a positive position. A revised PRoW package was issued on 27 March 2026 following design freeze 4. It included updates driven by environmental constraints and required bridge solutions. Comments were received on 10 April 2026. The proposals within the control of ERYC were noted as being acceptable.	ERYC considers the position positive and acceptable in principle, subject to final alignment confirmation and confirmation of closure durations.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		The parties are working towards agreement on PRoW diversions required to facilitate construction, including temporary and permanent changes associated with OHL works.			
4.11.26	PRoW definitive mapping and accuracy check	The Applicant undertook a review of the digitised definitive map provided by the Council, the Project's own mapping, and photographic records of the PRoW network. The results of this assessment highlighted several potential discrepancies which were presented in a spreadsheet issued to the Council for review on 2 April 2026. ERYC responded on 24 April 2026, providing comments and additional mapping information to assist in resolving the discrepancies. The Applicant has now agreed the use of the Definitive Map on all relevant plans & figures, including presenting temporary closures and diversions.	ERYC have requested the use of the Definitive Map, not to use any alternative PRoW mapping.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.27	Closure of PRoW for Birkhill Wood Access	The management of the Birkhill Wood Access is set out within **Application Document 6.14 Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** and **Application**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** and **Application Document 7.6 Outline**	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Document 7.6 Outline Public Rights of Way Management Plan	**Public Rights of Way Management Plan** in order to confirm their position.		
4.11.28	Impact of development on the Yorkshire Wolds Way National Trail	**Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** provides an assessment of impacts and mitigation measures for PRoW which are considered to be suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.11.29	Cumulative Impacts	**Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** and **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** provide an assessment of effects of the cumulative impacts of the project. The impacts are considered to be acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures are required.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** and **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.12 Air Quality

Table 4.12 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Air Quality

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.12.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the air quality assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.12.2	Study area	The study area set out within **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** is considered suitable.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.12.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.		Under Discussion
4.12.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology set out within **Application Document 6.15**	ERYC are satisfied with the assessment methodology	26/06/2026	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality is considered suitable and appropriate.			
4.12.5	Construction Dust Emissions	A construction dust assessment has been undertaken in accordance with IAQM guidance and is presented in **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**. This has informed the identification of appropriate mitigation measures, which have been incorporated into the REAC.	ERYC are satisfied with the construction dust assessment methodology	26/06/2026	Agreed
4.12.6	NRMM and Back-up Generator Emissions	As set out in **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**. NRMM and back-up generator emissions are being assessed qualitatively. There are no identified human receptors within 200 m of the construction compounds. Appropriate control measures have been included within the REAC.	ERYC are satisfied with the NRMM and back-up generator emissions assessment methodology.	26/06/2026	Agreed
4.12.7	Construction Vehicle Emissions	As set out in **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**. The construction vehicle emissions assessment has been undertaken in line with IAQM and EPUK *Land-Use Planning and Development Control: Planning for Air Quality* guidance for human receptors. Where data are	ERYC are satisfied with the construction vehicle emissions assessment methodology.	26/06/2026	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		available, local roads and haul roads within 200 m of affected roads have also been included. Detailed dispersion modelling will be undertaken using ADMS, with the peak construction year assessed as the worst-case scenario.			
4.12.8	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for air quality are presented in **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.12.9	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures in relation to the management of air quality are set out within **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** and these are considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.12.10	Assessment Conclusion	The results of the assessment on air quality is contained within **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** and the impacts are considered acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.12.11	Outline CoCP	The **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** includes all relevant construction related mitigation measures specified in **Application Document 6.15 Environmental Statement Chapter 15 Air Quality** and is appropriate for managing construction impacts from the Project.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 7.2 Outline Code of Construction Practice** and **Application Document 6.15 Chapter 15 Air Quality** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.13 Noise and Vibration

Table 4.13 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Noise and Vibration

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.13.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the noise and vibration assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		been identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
4.13.2	Study area	The study area is set out within **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** and is considered suitable.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.13.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.13.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology is set out within **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** and is considered suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.13.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for noise and vibration are presented in **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration**. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.13.6	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures in relation to the management of noise and vibration are set out within **Application**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter**	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration and these are considered suitable and appropriate.	**16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.		
4.13.7	Construction Environmental Management Plan	Measures to prevent adverse impacts on amenity are set out within **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16** and **Application Document 7.7 Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan**. The measures proposed are considered appropriate.	A robust and detailed Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) for the construction phase of the development would be welcomed, which specifies the measures to be taken to prevent adverse impact on amenity for the nearest sensitive receptors, from noise, vibration, dust and odour. The CEMP (or an associated document) should also detail the measures to be implemented to prevent adverse impacts from construction on local air quality. An position on proposed operating hours is recommended, along with a procedure for prior notice of planned out-of-hours working if required. It is also recommended that a procedure is developed to report, investigate, risk-assess and remediate any land contamination which is discovered prior to or during the construction phase. A community liaison role would be welcomed, with clear contact details for residents to report any concerns or complaints associated with the construction phase.	22/07/2026	

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.13.8	Assessment Conclusions	The results of the assessment on noise and vibration is contained within **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** and the impacts are considered acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.16 Environmental Statement Chapter 16 Noise and Vibration** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.14 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

Table 4.14 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.14.1	Policy and legislation	The policy context, legislation and guidance considered when undertaking the Socio economics, Recreation and Tourism assessment is presented in **Application Document 6.2 Environmental Statement Chapter 2 Legislation and Policy Context** and **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics**. All relevant legislation, policy and guidance has been	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		identified and appropriately considered to inform the assessment.			
4.14.2	Study area	The study area for the assessment of socio-economics is set out within **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism** and considered suitable.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed
4.14.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop and survey data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented within **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism**.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed
4.14.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for the assessment of socio-economics is set out within **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism** and is considered suitable and appropriate.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed
4.14.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors for Socio economics, Recreation and Tourism are presented in **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics, Recreation and**	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Tourism. The baseline conditions and receptors presented are considered appropriate.			
4.14.6	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures relevant to Socio economics, are set out in **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Chapter 17 Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism** and are considered suitable and appropriate.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed
4.14.7	Assessment Conclusions	The results of the assessment of socioeconomics are presented in **Application Document 6.17 Environmental Statement Socio-economics, Recreation and Tourism** and the impacts are considered acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed

4.15 Health and Wellbeing

Table 4.15 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Health and Wellbeing

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.15.1	Policy and legislation	As set out in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** and **Application Document 6.18.A1 Environmental Statement Appendix 18.1 Regional and Local Planning Policy** the relevant and updated policy, legislation and guidance in relation to health and wellbeing has been considered and appropriately informs the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.15.2	Study area	The study area presented in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** is considered appropriate. The ES identifies vulnerable groups with a higher sensitivity to health effects as a result of impacts to health determinants. The ES identifies LSOAs with a high proportion of vulnerable populations within the Direct Impact Area following a review of baseline data.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** in order to confirm their position. ERYC Public Health also requests further clarity on how vulnerable groups will be mapped within the study area and how the chosen study area boundaries reflect local service catchments and community networks where these may differ from standard distances.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.15.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter**		Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
		presented in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing**.	**18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position.		
4.15.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for the assessment on health and wellbeing is presented in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** and considered suitable and appropriate. Cumulative effects on health are assessed within **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** and **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position. ERYC Public Health requests clearer wording on how findings and mitigation from other relevant Environmental Statement chapters will be incorporated into the Health and Wellbeing assessment, and how any further health and wellbeing mitigation will be fed back into the relevant technical assessments where appropriate.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.15.5	Electro-magnetic fields (EMFs)	The Electromagnetic Field Compliance Report demonstrated that the Project is compliant with the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation guidelines (1998), ensuring that the threshold impact for humans is not met or exceeded. National Grid will ensure that policies and procedures are in place at the design phase to ensure all equipment complies with public EMF exposure limits. Therefore, EMFs are scoped	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	02/10/2025	Agreed

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		out of the health and wellbeing assessment.			
4.15.6	Community wellbeing and Social Value	The Statement of Community Consultation outlines commitments to inclusive engagement, such as embedding the Gunning Principles into the consultation process. National Grid will engage with local communities separate to the planning process to deliver meaningful, long-term, social, and economic benefits through local and strategic investment.	ERYC Public Health seeks further clarification on how inclusive engagement will continue as the project develops, how community feedback will continue to shape the project, how consultees will be informed about how their input has influenced the scheme, and how the effectiveness of engagement and mitigation measures will be monitored and evaluated. ERYC Public Health also requests further detail on the delivery of lasting local benefits, including skills development, apprenticeships, local employment opportunities and community benefit initiatives and funds.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.15.7	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors are presented in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** and considered appropriate. The chapter draws upon the labelling set out in **Application Document 6.14 Environmental Statement Chapter 14 Traffic and Transport** which identifies the PRoW, access routes, and recreational routes within the Order Limits.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position. ERYC Public Health requests that the baseline clearly identifies vulnerable groups and, where possible, presents these spatially within the study area so that the needs of communities with higher sensitivity to health effects are clearly captured.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.15.8	Mitigation Measures	In line with the mitigation hierarchy embedded mitigation measures to mitigate the effects on health and wellbeing through design are set out in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** and these are considered appropriate. Where the assessment of effects draws upon other technical chapters, the residual effects reported within those chapters have been taken into account. Therefore, the health and wellbeing assessment includes the relevant embedded mitigation measures identified within the relevant technical chapters.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position. ERYC Public Health requests further clarity on how health and wellbeing mitigation measures will be monitored and evaluated, including where mitigation is drawn from other technical chapters, and how any additional health-related mitigation will be secured and tracked.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.15.9	Assessment Conclusions	An assessment of effects is presented in **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** and is considered appropriate, including impacts to local communities due to effects on PRoW, landscape and visual effects, and the impact on both mental and physical health.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.18 Environmental Statement Chapter 18 Health and Wellbeing** to confirm their position. ERYC Public Health notes the Applicant's position that the NSIP process itself is not within the scope of the ES; however, ERYC considers that the assessment should clearly recognise potential community concerns linked to uncertainty, perceived lack of control and disruption, and explain how communication, engagement and mitigation measures, community funding will support community mental wellbeing throughout the project.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.15.10	Cumulative effects on health and wellbeing	Cumulative effects on health and wellbeing are assessed within **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** and **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects**, which consider the effects of cumulative schemes on both physical and mental health. The methodology and mitigation measures set out in these chapters are considered appropriate for capturing cumulative health impacts.	ERYC Public Health requests that the assessment clearly identifies how cumulative effects from multiple infrastructure projects in the area may compound impacts on community health and wellbeing, and how these will be identified, monitored and mitigated. ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** and **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	21/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.16 Climate Change

Table 4.16 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Climate Change

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.16.1	Policy and Legislation	As set out in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** the relevant policy, legislation and guidance in relation to climate change	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		has been considered and appropriately informs the assessment.			
4.16.2	Study area	The study area set out in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** is considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.16.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change**.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.16.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for the assessment is set out in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** and is considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.16.5	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors are presented in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** and considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.16.6	Mitigation Measures	In line with the mitigation hierarchy measures to mitigate the effects on climate change are set out in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** and these are considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.16.7	Assessment Conclusions	An assessment of effects is presented in **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** and is considered appropriate.	ERYC await **Application Document 6.19 Environmental Statement Chapter 19 Climate Change** in order to confirm their position	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.17 Intra-Project Cumulative Effects

Table 4.17 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Intra-Project Cumulative Effects

ID	Matter	Agreed Position (working towards)	ERYC's Position (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	Date (last discussed / date agreed)	Status
4.17.1	Policy and legislation	As set out in **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project cumulative effects** the relevant policy and legislation in relation to intra-project cumulative effects has been considered and appropriately informs the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project cumulative effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.17.2	Receptors	The assessment considered residual effects only as presented in section 9 of each of the technical ES Chapters (**Application Documents 6.6 - 6.18)**.	ERYC awaits **Application Documents 6.6-6.18** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Where multiple types of effects are already considered within one chapter, the findings are not repeated in the intra-project cumulative effects assessment. As such these receptors are not considered within the intra-project cumulative effects assessment.			
4.17.3	Assessment Methodology	The assessment of intra-project cumulative effects has been undertaken using a three-stage approach comprising: Stage 1 - pre-screening, Stage 2 - screening and Stage 3 – assessment, as presented in **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Intra-project Cumulative Effects.**	Matter agreed. ERYC has no further comments in response to this matter.	22/07/2026	Agreed
4.17.4	Key parameters and assumptions	The intra project cumulative assessment has been constrained by the limitations, assumptions and uncertainties presented within the individual assessments reported within **Application Documents 6.6** to **6.18.** This is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Documents 6.6-6.18** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.17.5	Mitigation Measures	Mitigation measures are set out within **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** and are considered to be suitable and appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.17.6	Assessment Conclusions	The results of the assessment of impacts on inter and intra cumulative developments are set out within **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** with impacts considered to be acceptable following the implementation of mitigation measures where required.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.20 Environmental Statement Chapter 20 Intra-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

4.18 Inter Project Cumulative Effects

Table 4.18 – Matters Agreed or Under Discussion in Relation to Inter Project Cumulative Effects

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
4.18.1	Policy and legislation	As set out in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** the relevant policy, legislation and guidance in relation to cumulative effects has been considered and appropriately informs the assessment.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.18.2	Study area	The study area set out in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		Cumulative Effects is considered appropriate.			
4.18.3	Data sources	Sufficient desktop data has been collected to inform the assessment as presented in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects**.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.18.4	Assessment methodology	The methodology for the assessment set out in Application **Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.18.5	Key parameters and assumptions	Key parameters and assumptions are summarised in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** and considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.18.6	Baseline conditions and receptors	The baseline conditions and receptors are presented in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** and considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion
4.18.7	Mitigation Measures	In line with the mitigation hierarchy measures to mitigate the effects are set out in **Application Document**	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter**	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

ID	Matter	**Agreed Position** (working towards)	**ERYC's Position** (including actions to be taken by National Grid)	**Date** (last discussed / date agreed)	**Status**
		6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects and these are considered appropriate.	**21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.		
4.18.8	Assessment Conclusions	An assessment of effects is presented in **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** and is considered appropriate.	ERYC awaits **Application Document 6.21 Environmental Statement Chapter 21 Inter-project Cumulative Effects** in order to confirm their position.	22/07/2026	Under Discussion

5. Matters Not Agreed

5.1 Overview

5.1.1 This section details the matters relevant to ERYC which have not been agreed between the Parties.

[TABLE TO BE INSERTED AT EXAMINATION TO INCLUDE MATTERS NOT AGREED. THERE ARE NO MATTERS NOT AGREED AT THIS STAGE]

6. Confirmation of Position

6.1.1 The above SoCG is agreed between National Grid and ERYC on the date specified below.

Signed for and on behalf of National Grid:

……

Date:

……

Signed for and on behalf of ERYC:

……

Date:

……

National Grid plc
National Grid House,
Warwick Technology Park,
Gallows Hill, Warwick.
CV34 6DA United Kingdom

Registered in England and Wales
No. 4031152
nationalgrid.com